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#### Abstract

In this paper, we are interested in the integral representation problem of the generalized Bessel linear functional $B[\nu]$, well-known by the Pearson equation that it satisfies: $\left(x^{3} B[\nu]\right)^{\prime}-\left(2(\nu+1) x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) B[\nu]=0$. By means of some integral estimation and technical results including important inequalities of the incomplete gamma function and the exponential integral, we obtain an integral representation of $B[\nu]$, for every real number $\nu \neq-n, n \geq 0$. The connection formula between $B[\nu]$ and the classical Bessel linear functional $B(\alpha)$ allows us to obtain an integral representation of $B(\alpha)$, for all real number $\alpha \neq-(n / 2), n \geq 0$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the linear space of polynomials in one variable with complex coefficients and let $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ be its algebraic dual. We denote by $\langle\mathcal{U}, f\rangle$ the action of $\mathcal{U}$ in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ on $f$ in $\mathcal{P}$ and by $(\mathcal{U})_{n}:=\left\langle\mathcal{U}, x^{n}\right\rangle, n \geq 0$, the moments of $\mathcal{U}$ with respect to the monomial sequence $\left\{x^{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$. When $(\mathcal{U})_{0}=1$, the linear functional $\mathcal{U}$ is said to be normalized. Let us define some operations in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, (see $[1,6,9]$ ). For any $\mathcal{U}$ in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, any $q$ in $\mathcal{P}$ and any complex numbers $a, b, c$ with $a \neq 0$, let $D \mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}^{\prime}, q \mathcal{U}, h_{a} \mathcal{U}, \tau_{b} \mathcal{U}$ and $\sigma \mathcal{U}$ be respectively the derivative, the left multiplication, the translation, the homothetic and the pair part of the linear functionals defined by duality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathcal{U}^{\prime}, f\right\rangle:=-\left\langle\mathcal{U}, f^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
& \langle q \mathcal{U}, f\rangle:=\langle\mathcal{U}, q f\rangle, \\
& \left\langle h_{a} \mathcal{U}, f\right\rangle:=\left\langle\mathcal{U}, h_{a} f\right\rangle=\langle\mathcal{U}, f(a x)\rangle, \\
& \left\langle\tau_{b} \mathcal{U}, f\right\rangle:=\left\langle\mathcal{U}, \tau_{-b} f\right\rangle=\langle\mathcal{U}, f(x+b)\rangle, \\
& \langle\sigma \mathcal{U}, f\rangle:=\langle\mathcal{U}, \sigma f\rangle=\left\langle\mathcal{U}, f\left(x^{2}\right)\right\rangle, f \in \mathcal{P} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the symmetric generalized Bessel linear functional $B[\nu]$ given by its moments [2]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (B[\nu])_{2 n}=\frac{(-1)^{n} \Gamma(\nu+1)}{2^{2 n} \Gamma(n+\nu+1)}, n \geq 0  \tag{1}\\
& (B[\nu])_{2 n+1}=0, n \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]where $\nu \neq-(n+1), n \geq 0$ and here $\Gamma$ is the gamma function.
The linear functional $B[\nu]$ is symmetric, i.e., $(B[\nu])_{2 n+1}=0, n \geq 0$, and semiclassical (see $[4,6]$ ) of class one satisfying the Pearson equation [2]:
$$
\left(x^{3} B[\nu]\right)^{\prime}-\left(2(\nu+1) x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) B[\nu]=0
$$

By referring to [2], the linear functional $B[\nu]$ for $\nu \geq(1 / 2)$, has the following integral representation:

$$
\langle B[\nu], p(x)\rangle=S_{\nu}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U_{\nu}(x) p(x) d x, p \in \mathcal{P}
$$

with $S_{\nu}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U_{\nu}(x) d x$ and where the function $U_{\nu}$ is in $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and has the following expression:

$$
U_{\nu}(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x=0  \tag{2}\\ \frac{1}{x^{2}} \int_{|x|}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{|x|}{t}\right)^{2 \nu+1} e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}-\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}} s\left(t^{2}\right) d t, & x \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

where $s$ is the Stieltjes function given by $s(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x \leq 0, \\ e^{-x^{\frac{1}{4}}} \sin x^{\frac{1}{4}}, & x>0 .\end{cases}$
By Fubini's theorem, $S_{\nu}$ can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\nu}=4 \int_{0}^{+\infty} G_{\nu}(t) \sin t d t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $G_{\nu}(t)=f_{\nu}(t) e^{-t}, f_{\nu}(t)=t^{-4 \nu-1} e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{4}}} \varphi_{\nu-\frac{3}{2}}\left(t^{2}\right), \varphi_{\nu}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} x^{2 \nu+2} e^{-\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}} d x$.
Notice that $y=U_{\nu}(x)$ is the solution of the first-order linear differential equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(x^{3} y\right)^{\prime}-\left(2(\nu+1) x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) y=g(x),  \tag{4}\\
y(0)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the function $g(x)=-|x| s\left(x^{2}\right)$ represents the null linear functional.
The main purpose of this paper is to give an integral representation of $B[\nu]$, for all real number $\nu \neq-n, n \geq 0$. To reach our goal, we need to treat two cases separately, the first one is $\nu \geq 0$ and the second is $\nu<0$. In the first case, our approach is based essentially on the use of the fundamental Lemma 9 . The connection formulas that we highlight between the function $\varphi_{\nu}$ and the incomplete gamma function (resp. the exponential integral), as well as some double-inequalities established thereafter, will be important in the success of this approach. In the second cases, we use another approach based on a new connection formula between the linear functional $B[\nu]$ and $B[\nu+1]$. Finally, thanks to the connection formula between $B[\nu]$ and the classical Bessel linear functional $\mathcal{B}(\alpha)$, (see $[2,6,9]$ ), we obtain an integral representation of $\mathcal{B}(\alpha)$, for all real number $\alpha \neq-(n / 2), n \geq 0$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we develop some basic results and technical lemmas for future use. Section 3 is devoted to the integral representation problem of the generalized as well as the classical Bessel linear functional.

## 2. Preliminaries Results.

2.1. Some properties of the functions $\varphi_{\nu}, f_{\nu}$ and $G_{\nu}$. For each real number $\nu$, recall that the function $\varphi_{\nu}$ is given by

$$
\varphi_{\nu}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} t^{2 \nu+2} e^{-\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}} d t, x \geq 0
$$

Upon the change of variable $y=\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\nu}(x)=\frac{1}{2^{2 \nu+4}} \Gamma\left(-\nu-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{4 x^{2}}\right), x>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for every $x>0$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}, \Gamma(a, x)=\int_{x}^{+\infty} t^{a-1} e^{-t} d t$, is the incomplete gamma function, known by the following useful properties (see [3, 9]),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma(a, x)=(a-1) \Gamma(a-1, x)+x^{a-1} e^{-x}, \quad \Gamma(1, x)=e^{-x}  \tag{6}\\
& \frac{x^{a}}{x+1-a} e^{-x} \leq \Gamma(a, x) \leq \frac{(1+x) x^{a-1}}{x+2-a} e^{-x}, \quad a \leq 1  \tag{7}\\
& \frac{1}{2} e^{-x} \ln \left(1+\frac{2}{x}\right) \leq E_{1}(x) \leq e^{-x} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and $E_{1}(x)=\Gamma(0, x)$ is the exponential integral.
By substituting of (6) into (7) and then replacing $a$ by $a+1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{a}}{x+1-a} e^{-x} \leq \Gamma(a, x) \leq \frac{x^{a}}{x-a} e^{-x}, \quad a \leq 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1. For every $\nu \geq-(3 / 2)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 x^{2 \nu+5}}{1+2(2 \nu+5) x^{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}} \leq \varphi_{\nu}(x) \leq \frac{2 x^{2 \nu+5}}{1+2(2 \nu+3) x^{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}}, x>0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Immediate from (5) and (9).
Using (3) and (10), the following double-inequalities hold,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2 x^{3}}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}} & \leq f_{\nu}(x) \tag{11}
\end{align*} \leq \frac{2 x^{3}}{1+4 \nu x^{4}}, ~ 子 \frac{2 x^{3}}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}} e^{-x} \leq G_{\nu}(x) \leq \frac{2 x^{3}}{1+4 \nu x^{4}} e^{-x}, \text { for all } x \geq 0 \text { and } \nu \geq 0 . ~ \$
$$

In view of $\sqrt[12]{12}$, it is clear that $G_{\nu}(0)=0, G_{\nu}(x)>0$ for all $x>0$, and $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} G_{\nu}(x)=$ 0 , for every $\nu \geq 0$. Thus, $G_{\nu}$ has a maximum for $x=\bar{x}$ satisfying $G_{\nu}^{\prime}(\bar{x})=0$, i.e., $f_{\nu}^{\prime}(\bar{x})=f_{\nu}(\bar{x})$.
Lemma 2. For every $\nu \geq 0$, the function $G_{\nu}$ is decreasing on $[2 \pi,+\infty[$.
Proof. Let $t>0$, be an extremum of the function $G_{\nu}$. Then, $G_{\nu}^{\prime}(t)=0$. Equivalently, $f_{\nu}^{\prime}(t)=f_{\nu}(t)$. By 11, we get $f_{\nu}(t)=\frac{2 t^{3}}{1+(4 \nu+1) t^{4}+t^{5}} \geq \frac{2 t^{3}}{1+4(\nu+1) t^{4}}$. An easy computation leads to $t \leq 3<2 \pi$. This finishes the proof of the lemma.

The following double-inequality will be useful for the sequel.

Lemma 3. For every $\nu \geq-(3 / 2)$, the following double-inequality holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{2 \nu+3} e^{\frac{-1}{4 x^{2}}} \ln \left(1+8 x^{2}\right)}{2\left(2+(2 \nu+3) \ln \left(1+4 x^{2}\right)\right)} \leq \varphi_{\nu}(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} x^{2 \nu+3} e^{\frac{-1}{4 x^{2}}} \ln \left(1+4 x^{2}\right), x>0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\nu \geq-(3 / 2)$. We can write $\varphi_{\nu}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x} t^{2 \nu+3} \frac{d}{d t}\left(E_{1}\left(\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}\right)\right) d t$. Upon integration by parts, we get

$$
\varphi_{\nu}(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{2 \nu+3} E_{1}\left(\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{2}(2 \nu+3) \int_{0}^{x} t^{2 \nu+2} E_{1}\left(\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}\right) d t, x>0
$$

Using (8), (3) and the fact that the function $x \mapsto \ln (1+x)$ is increasing on the interval $]-1,+\infty[$, it follows that

$$
\varphi_{\nu}(x) \geq \frac{1}{4} x^{2 \nu+3} e^{-\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}} \ln \left(1+8 x^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}(2 \nu+3) \ln \left(1+4 x^{2}\right) \varphi_{\nu}(x), x>0
$$

This implies, $\varphi_{\nu}(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left.x^{2 \nu+3} e^{-\frac{1}{4 x}}\right) \ln \left(1+8 x^{2}\right)}{2+(2 \nu+3) \ln \left(1+4 x^{2}\right)}, x>0$.
For the same reason, we find the right-hand inequality of $\sqrt{13}$, as follows:

$$
\varphi_{\nu}(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} x^{2 \nu+3} E_{1}\left(\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} x^{2 \nu+3} e^{-\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}} \ln \left(1+4 x^{2}\right), \quad x>0
$$

The proof of lemma 3 is complete.
According to lemma 3 and by (5), we can establish the following new doubleinequality for the incomplete gamma function:

$$
\frac{1}{2} x^{a} e^{-x} \frac{\ln \left(1+\frac{2}{x}\right)}{1-a \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)} \leq \Gamma(a, x) \leq x^{a} e^{-x} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right), x>0, a \leq 0
$$

Again by lemma 3 and by (3), the following double-inequalities is achieved,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{4} \frac{\ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x\left[1+\nu \ln \left(1+4 x^{4}\right)\right]} & \leq f_{\nu}(x) \tag{14}
\end{align*} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln \left(1+4 x^{4}\right)}{x}, ~ 子 ~ \frac{1}{4} \frac{\ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x\left[1+\nu \ln \left(1+4 x^{4}\right)\right]} e^{-x} \leq G_{\nu}(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln \left(1+4 x^{4}\right)}{x} e^{-x}, x>0, \nu \geq 0 .
$$

### 2.2. Some technical results on integral estimation.

Proposition 4. Let $g:[0,+\infty[\rightarrow[0,+\infty[$ be a decreasing function, continuous on $[0,+\infty[$ and differentiable on $] 0,+\infty[$. Then, for every $\rho \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{x} t^{\rho} g(t) e^{-t} d t \geq \Omega_{\rho}(x) g(x), x \geq 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{\rho}(x)=\Gamma(\rho+1) e^{-x} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{n+\rho+1}}{\Gamma(n+2+\rho)}$.
In particular, $\Omega_{p}(x)=p!\left[1-e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^{p} \frac{x^{k}}{k!}\right]$, for all integer $p \geq 0$.
Proof. When $\rho=0$, the assumption $g$ is decreasing on $[0,+\infty[$ implies,

$$
\int_{0}^{x} g(t) e^{-t} d t \geq g(x) \int_{0}^{x} e^{-t} d t=g(x) \Omega_{0}(x), \quad x>0
$$

where $\Omega_{0}(x)=1-e^{-x}=e^{-x} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$.
Hence, (16) is valid for $\rho=0$.

If $\rho>0$, setting $R_{\rho}(t)=t^{\rho} g(t), t>0$ and $R_{\rho}(0)=0$. By assumption $g$ is decreasing on $\left[0,+\infty[\right.$ and differentiable on $] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$, we can write $t R_{\rho}^{\prime}(t)-\rho R_{\rho}(t)=$ $t^{\rho+1} g^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, t>0$. This yields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho t^{n} R_{\rho}(t) e^{-t} \geq t^{n+1} R_{\rho}^{\prime}(t) e^{-t}, \quad t>0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $x>0$, let $\left\{J_{n}(x)\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence of nonnegative real numbers,

$$
J_{n}(x):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(n+1+\rho)} \int_{0}^{x} t^{n} R_{\rho}(t) e^{-t} d t, n \geq 0
$$

Using 17 , it is easy to see that $\Gamma(n+1+\rho) J_{n}(x) \geq \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{0}^{x} t^{n+1} e^{-t} R_{\rho}^{\prime}(t) d t, n \geq 0$. Upon integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\Gamma(n+1+\rho) J_{n}(x) \geq \frac{1}{\rho}\left[x^{n+1} e^{-x} R_{\rho}(x)-\int_{0}^{x} t^{n} e^{-t}(n+1-t) R_{\rho}(t) d t\right], n \geq 0
$$

Equivalently, we have $J_{n}(x)-J_{n+1}(x) \geq \frac{x^{n+1}}{\Gamma(n+2+\rho)} e^{-x} R_{\rho}(x), n \geq 0$. This implies, $J_{0}(x) \geq J_{n}(x)+e^{-x} R_{\rho}(x) \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{l+1}}{\Gamma(l+2+\rho)}, n \geq 1$. Since $J_{n}(x) \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $x>0$, we get $J_{0}(x) \geq e^{-x} R_{\rho}(x) \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{l+1}}{\Gamma(l+2+\rho)}, n \geq 1$. If $n$ tends to $+\infty$, then $\int_{0}^{x} t^{\rho} g(t) e^{-t} d t \geq \Omega_{\rho}(x) g(x)$, where $\Omega_{\rho}(x)=\Gamma(\rho+1) e^{-x} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{n+\rho+1}}{\Gamma(n+2+\rho)}$.
Hence, the inequality 16 is valid for all $\rho \geq 0$ and all $x>0$.
If $\rho=p$ : an nonnegative integer, we have

$$
\Omega_{p}(x)=p!e^{-x} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{n+p+1}}{(n+1+p)!}=p!\left(1-e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^{p} \frac{x^{k}}{k!}\right), x>0
$$

This finishes the proof of the proposition.
Furthermore, the following inequalities are needed for what comes next.
Proposition 5. For every $x>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{x} \frac{t^{4} e^{-t}}{1+4(\nu+1) t^{4}} d t \geq \Omega_{4}(x) \frac{1}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}}, \quad \nu \geq-1  \tag{18}\\
& \int_{0}^{x} e^{-t} \ln \left(1+8 t^{4}\right) d t \geq \Omega_{4}(x) \frac{\ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x^{4}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega_{4}(x)=24\left(1-e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^{4} \frac{x^{k}}{k!}\right)$.
Proof. To establish (18), we use proposition 4, with $\rho=p=4$ and $g(t)=$ $\frac{1}{1+4(\nu+1) t^{4}}$, for $t \geq 0$. Clearly, $g^{\prime}(t)=\frac{-16(\nu+1) t^{3}}{\left(1+4(\nu+1) t^{4}\right)^{2}} \leq 0$, for $t \geq 0$ and $\nu \geq-1$.

To establish (19), we use proposition 4, with $\rho=p=4$ and $g(t)=8 h\left(8 t^{4}\right)$, for all $t \geq 0$, where $h(t)=\frac{\ln (1+t)}{t}$, for all $t>0$ and $h(0)=1$. We can show that, $g^{\prime}(t)=256 t^{3} h^{\prime}\left(8 t^{4}\right) \leq 0, t>0$. Indeed, it suffices to show that $h^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$, for all $t \geq 0$. Clearly, $h^{\prime}(t)=\frac{l(t)}{t^{2}}$, for all $t>0$, where $l(t)=\frac{t}{t+1}-\ln (t+1)$, for all $t \geq 0$. Since $l^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{t}{(t+1)^{2}} \leq 0$, for all $t \geq 0$, then $l(t) \leq l(0)=0$, for all $t \geq 0$. Hence, $h^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$, for all $t \geq 0$ and then $g^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$, for all $t \geq 0$.
2.3. Some asymptotic behavior results. Let $h$ be a function defined on $\mathbb{R}$, and having the following properties:
$\mathbf{P}_{1} . h(x)=f(\sqrt{|x|})$, for every $x$ in $\mathbb{R}$, where $f(x)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} x^{n}$ is an entire function.
$\mathbf{P}_{2}$. The function $h$ and all its derivatives, are with rapid decay at $\pm \infty$, i.e., for every integers $k \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|x^{k} h^{(n)}(x)\right|<+\infty
$$

Now, for any complex number $\nu$ and any function $h$ satisfying the properties $\mathbf{P}_{i}$, $i=1,2$, let us consider the following first-order linear differential equation:

$$
E_{\nu}(h):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(x^{3} y\right)^{\prime}-\left[2(\nu+1) x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right] y=h(x)  \tag{20}\\
y(0)=-2 h(0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The solution of 20 is defined on the real line $\mathbb{R}$ and given by

$$
y(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-|x|^{2 \nu-1} e^{\frac{-1}{4 x^{2}}} \int_{|x|}^{+\infty} t^{-2(\nu+1)} e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}} h(t) d t, \quad x \neq 0  \tag{21}\\
-2 h(0), x=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 6. The function $y$ given by (21) is even, infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{R}-\{0\}$ and fulfills the following properties:
(i) When $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$, we have $\left|x^{n} y^{(n)}(x)\right|=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{k+2}}\right)$, for each positive integer $k$ such that $k>k_{\nu}=\max \{0,-2 \Re(\nu)-1\}$, and each integer $n \geq 0$.
(ii) The function $y \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^{0}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. By assumption $\mathbf{P}_{2}$, for every integers $k \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$, there exist $M_{k, n}>0$ and $\eta_{k, n}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h^{(n)}(x)\right| \leq \frac{M_{k, n}}{|x|^{k}}, \quad|x|>\eta_{k, n} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., for every integers $k \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0,\left|h^{(n)}(x)\right|=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{k}}\right),|x| \rightarrow+\infty$.

By 21, 22, with $n=0$, and since $e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}} \leq e^{\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}}$, for all $t \geq|x|$, we obtain

$$
|y(x)| \leq|x|^{2 \Re(\nu)-1} e^{\frac{-1}{4 x^{2}}} \int_{|x|}^{+\infty} t^{-2(\Re(\nu)+1)} e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}}|h(t)| d t \leq \frac{M_{k, 0}}{(k+2 \Re(\nu)+1)} \frac{1}{|x|^{k+2}}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|x|>\eta_{k, 0}$ and all integer $k>k_{\nu}=\max \{0,-2 \Re(\nu)-1\}$. So, for every integer $k>k_{\nu}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|y(x)|=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{k+2}}\right),|x| \rightarrow+\infty \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By 20 , it is clear that $\left|x^{k+3} y^{\prime}\right| \leq\left((2|\nu|+1) x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left|x^{k} y(x)\right|+\left|x^{k} h(x)\right|$, and on account of 22 and 23 , it follows that for every integer $k>k_{\nu}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x y^{\prime}(x)\right|=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{k+2}}\right),|x| \rightarrow+\infty \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By induction on the integer $n \geq 0$, let's show that for every integer $k>k_{\nu}$, when $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$, we have

$$
\left|x^{n} y^{(n)}(x)\right|=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{k+2}}\right),|x| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

For $n=0$, and $n=1$, the recurrence property is true by 23 and 24 respectively. Suppose that the recurrence property is valid up to the order $m(m \geq 1)$, and let's show that it remains valid to the order $m+1$.
By (20), after differentiating $m$-times and by using the Leibnitz's formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{3} y^{(m+1)}(x)= & \left((2 \nu-3 m-1) x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) y^{(m)}(x)+m(4 \nu-3 m+1) x y^{(m-1)}(x)+ \\
& m(m-1)(2 \nu-m+1) y^{(m-2)}(x)+h^{(m)}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|x^{3+k+m} y^{(m+1)}(x)\right| \leq(2|\nu|+3 m+1) x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left|x^{k+m} y^{(m)}(x)\right|  \tag{25}\\
& \quad+m(4|\nu|+3 m+1)\left|x^{1+k+m} y^{(m-1)}(x)\right| \\
& \quad+m(m-1)(2|\nu|+m+1)\left|x^{k+m} y^{(m-2)}(x)\right|+\left|x^{k+m} h^{(m)}(x)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

By induction hypothesis and $\sqrt[22]{ }$, each one of the quantities $\left|x^{2+k+m} y^{(m)}(x)\right|$, $\left|x^{1+k+m} y^{(m-1)}(x)\right|,\left|x^{k+m} y^{(m-2)}(x)\right|$ and $\left|x^{k+m} h^{(m)}(x)\right|$, is equal to $\mathrm{O}(1)$. So, by 25p, $\left|x^{m+1} y^{(m+1)}(x)\right|=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{k+2}}\right),|x| \rightarrow+\infty$, for every integer $k>k_{\nu}$. Hence, (i) holds.

When $|x|<1$, we start by noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=w(|x|)-|x|^{2 \nu-1} e^{\frac{-1}{4 x^{2}}} \int_{1}^{+\infty} t^{-2(\nu+1)} e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}} h(t) d t \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}-x^{2 \nu-1} e^{\frac{-1}{4 x^{2}}} \int_{x}^{1} t^{-2(\nu+1)} e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}} h(t) d t, x>0, \\ -2 h(0), x=0 .\end{array}\right.$
Clearly, $y(x)=w(|x|)+\mathrm{o}\left(e^{\frac{-1}{8 x^{2}}}\right)$. By applying the Hospital's rule to the ratio,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} w(x) & =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{-\int_{x}^{1} t^{-2(\nu+1)} e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}} h(t) d t}{x^{-2 \nu+1} e^{\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}}} \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{h(x)}{(-2 \nu+1) x^{2}-\frac{1}{2}}=-2 h(0)=w(0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} w(x)=-2 h(0)$. Hence, $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} y(x)=-2 h(0)$. Thus, $y$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$.
Accordingly, the function $y$ given by 21, is in $L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^{0}(\mathbb{R})$.
Hence, (ii) holds.
Lemma 7. For $x$ small enough the function $y$ given by (21) has the following expansion:

$$
y(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{N} \alpha_{l}|x|^{\frac{l}{2}}+\mathrm{o}\left(|x|^{\frac{N}{2}}\right), \text { for every integer } N \geq 4
$$

where the $\alpha_{l}^{\prime} s$ are the coefficients of the series representation of $f$ appearing in property $\boldsymbol{P}_{1}$ and given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{l}-\left(\frac{l}{2}-1-2 \nu\right) \alpha_{l-4}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{l}=0,0 \leq l \leq N \\
\alpha_{-l}=0, l \geq 1, \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha_{l}=0, l \geq N+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Recall that the function $w$ given by (26) is continuous on $[0,+\infty[$ and infinitely differentiable on $] 0,+\infty[$. It is easy to show that $w$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(x^{3} w\right)^{\prime}-\left[2(\nu+1) x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right] w=h(x)  \tag{27}\\
w(0)=-2 h(0), \quad w(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the function $h$ satisfies the properties $\mathbf{P}_{i}, i=1,2$.
For any integer $N \geq 4$, let $\left(\alpha_{l}\right)_{l \geq 0}$ be the sequence of complex numbers given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{l}-\left(\frac{l}{2}-1-2 \nu\right) \alpha_{l-4}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{l}=0,0 \leq l \leq N  \tag{28}\\
\alpha_{-l}=0, l \geq 1, \quad \alpha_{l}=0, l \geq N+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $C_{N}$ be the function defined on $[0,+\infty[$ by

$$
C_{N}(x)= \begin{cases}\left(w(x)-\sum_{l=0}^{N} a_{l} x^{\frac{l}{2}}\right) x^{-\frac{N+1}{2}}, & x>0  \tag{29}\\ -2\left[a_{N+1}+\left(2 \nu_{N}+1\right) \alpha_{N-3}\right], & x=0\end{cases}
$$

where $\nu_{N}=\nu-\frac{N+1}{4}$.
Substituting 29 into 27 and taking 28 into account, the function $v$ defined on $\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ by $v(x)=C_{N}(x)$, satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(x^{3} v\right)^{\prime}-\left[2\left(\nu_{N}+1\right) x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right] v=f_{N}(\sqrt{x}), \\
v(0)=-2\left[a_{N+1}+\left(2 \nu_{N}+1\right) \alpha_{N-3}\right], \quad v(1)=-\sum_{l=0}^{N} \alpha_{l}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the function $f_{N}$ is defined on the interval $[0,+\infty[$ by

$$
f_{N}(t)= \begin{cases}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left[a_{l+N+1}+\left(-\frac{l}{2}+2 \nu_{N}+1\right) \alpha_{l+N-3}\right] t^{l}, & t>0 \\ a_{N+1}+\alpha_{N-3}\left(2 \nu_{N}+1\right), & t=0\end{cases}
$$

The resolution of the last first-order differential equation gives us

$$
v(x)=C_{N}(x)=\left[-e^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{l=0}^{N} \alpha_{l}-\int_{x}^{1} t^{-2\left(\nu_{N}+1\right)} f_{N}(\sqrt{t}) e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}} d t\right] x^{2 \nu_{N}-1} e^{\frac{-1}{4 x^{2}}}, \quad x>0
$$

If we apply the Hospital's rule to the ratio, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} C_{N}(x) & =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{-e^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{l=0}^{N} \alpha_{l}-\int_{x}^{1} t^{-2\left(\nu_{N}+1\right)} f_{N}(\sqrt{t}) e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}} d t}{x^{-2 \nu_{N}+1} e^{\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}}} \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f_{N}(\sqrt{x})}{\left(-2 \nu_{N}+1\right) x^{2}-\frac{1}{2}}=-2 f_{N}(0)=C_{N}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the function $x \mapsto C_{N}(x)$ is continuous on $[0,+\infty[$.
Accordingly, for $x$ small enough, the function given by 21 has the following expansion:

$$
y(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{N} \alpha_{l}|x|^{\frac{l}{2}}+|x|^{\frac{N+1}{2}} R_{N}(|x|)
$$

where the function $R_{N}$ is continuous on $[0,+\infty[$ and given by

$$
R_{N}(x)= \begin{cases}x^{2 \nu_{N}-1} V_{N}(x) e^{\frac{-1}{4 x^{2}}}, & x>0 \\ -2 f_{N}(0), & x=0\end{cases}
$$

$V_{N}(x)=-e^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{l=0}^{N} \alpha_{l}-\int_{x}^{1} t^{-2\left(\nu_{N}+1\right)} f_{N}(\sqrt{t}) e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}} d t-\int_{1}^{+\infty} t^{-2(\nu+1)} f(\sqrt{t}) e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}} d t$.
Lemma 8. The function $y$ given by (21) satisfies:

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x^{n} y^{(n)}(x)= \begin{cases}-2 h(0), & n=0 \\ 0, & n \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $y_{1}$ be the function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ and given by

$$
y_{1}(x)= \begin{cases}x y^{\prime}(x)-(2 \nu-1) y(x), & x \neq 0  \tag{30}\\ -2(1-2 \nu) h(0), & x=0\end{cases}
$$

where $y$ is the function given by (21).
From 20) and 30, we get $x^{2} y_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{2} y(x)+h(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$. Besides, the function $y_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(x^{3} y_{1}\right)^{\prime}-\left(2 \nu x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) y_{1}=h_{1}(x)  \tag{31}\\
y_{1}(0)=-2(1-2 \nu) h(0)=-2 h_{1}(0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $h_{1}(x)=x h^{\prime}(x)+(1-2 \nu) h(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$. Notice that the function $h_{1}$ satisfies the properties $\mathbf{P}_{i}, i=1,2$. So, by lemma 6, where $\nu$ is replaced by $\nu-1$ and $h$ by $h_{1}$, the solution $y_{1}$ of 31 , is in $L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^{0}(\mathbb{R})$.
Clearly, $y_{1}(0)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} y_{1}(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x y^{\prime}(x)-(2 \nu-1) y(x)$, on account of 30 .
So, $-2(1-2 \nu) h(0)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x y^{\prime}(x)+2(2 \nu-1) h(0)$. Hence, $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x y^{\prime}(x)=0$.
Let $\left\{h_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence of functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$ and entirely given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{0}(x)=h(x),  \tag{32}\\
h_{n+1}(x)=x h_{n}^{\prime}(x)+[1-2(\nu-n)] h_{n}(x), n \geq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For every integer $n \geq 0$, we can see that $h_{n}$ satisfies the properties $\mathbf{P}_{i}, i=1,2$.
Let $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence of functions given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\begin{cases}y_{n+1}(x)= \begin{cases}x y_{n}^{\prime}(x)-(2(\nu-n)-1) y_{n}(x), & x \neq 0 \\ -2 h_{n+1}(0), & x=0 \\ y_{0}=y, \text { where } y \text { is given by 21. }\end{cases} \end{cases} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By induction on the integer $n$, it is easy to show that the functions $y_{n}, n \geq 0$, satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(x^{3} y_{n}\right)^{\prime}-\left(2(\nu-n+1) x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) y_{n}=h_{n}(x)  \tag{34}\\
y_{n}(0)=-2 h_{n}(0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In addition, we have $x^{2} y_{n+1}(x)=\frac{1}{2} y_{n}(x)+h_{n}(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$. From 34) and by lemma 6, the functions $y_{n}, n \geq 0$, are continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, and satisfying

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} y_{n}(x)=-2 h_{n}(0), \quad n \geq 0
$$

From (32) and (33), it comes that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2(1-2(\nu-n)) h_{n}(0) & =-2 h_{n+1}(0)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} y_{n+1}(x) \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}\left[x y_{n}^{\prime}(x)-(2(\nu-n)-1)\right] y_{n}(x) \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x y_{n}^{\prime}(x)-2(1-2(\nu-n)) h_{n}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies, $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x y_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0, n \geq 0$. By induction on the integer $k \geq 1$, let's show that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x^{k} y_{n}^{(k)}(x)=0$, for every integer $n \geq 0$.
For $k=1$, we have already seen that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x y_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0$, for every integer $n \geq 0$.
Suppose that the recurrence property is valid until the order $m$ and let's show that
it remains valid to the order $m+1$.
By induction hypothesis and from 33, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x^{m} y_{n+1}^{(m)}(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x^{m}\left(x y_{n}^{\prime}(x)-(2(\nu-n)-1) y_{n}(x)\right)^{(m)} \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x^{m+1} y_{n}^{(m+1)}(x)+(m-2(\nu-n)+1) x^{m} y_{n}^{(m)}(x) \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x^{m+1} y_{n}^{(m+1)}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the recurrence property holds.
Accordingly, $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x^{k} y^{(k)}(x)=0$, for every integer $k \geq 1$, since $y_{0}=y$, where $y$ is given by 21 .

## 3. Integral representations of $B[\nu]$ and $\mathcal{B}(\alpha)$.

### 3.1. An integral representation of $B[\nu]$.

## Case $\nu \geq 0$.

Let us show that the integral representation of the linear functional $B[\nu]$ given by the authors in [2] remains valid for all $\nu \geq 0$. To do so, we need the following fundamental lemma.

Lemma 9. Consider the following integral: $S=\int_{0}^{+\infty} G(x) \sin x d x$, where $G$ : $[0,+\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonnegative, continuous and decreasing function on $[2 \pi,+\infty[$, satisfying the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi}[G(x)-G(x+\pi)] \sin x d x>0 . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $S>0$.
Proof. Let $S_{n}=\int_{0}^{\pi}[G(x+2 n \pi)-G(x+(2 n+1) \pi)] \sin x d x, n \geq 0$. Clearly, $\int_{0}^{2 n \pi} G(x) \sin x d x=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_{k}, n \geq 1$. Since $\sin x \geq 0$ on $[0, \pi]$, and by assumption $G$ is decreasing on $\left[2 \pi,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$, we get $S_{n} \geq 0, n \geq 1$. Therefore, $\int_{0}^{2 n \pi} G(x) \sin x d x \geq S_{0}, n \geq 1$. While $n$ tends to $+\infty$ and by taking 35 into account, we obtain $S \geq S_{0}>0$.

Theorem 10. For any $\nu \geq 0$, we have $S_{\nu}>0$ and then the generalized Bessel linear functional $B[\nu]$ has the following integral representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle B[\nu], p\rangle=S_{\nu}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2}} \int_{|x|}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{|x|}{t}\right)^{2 \nu+1} e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}-\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}} s\left(t^{2}\right) d t p(x) d x, p \in \mathcal{P} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By lemmas 2 and 9 , where $G=G_{\nu}$, in order to show that $S_{\nu}>0$, just check the condition (35). To achieve this goal, we need to distinguish three cases.
$\mathbf{C}_{1} \cdot \nu=0$.
For $\nu=0$ in 15 , we get $\frac{1}{4} \frac{\ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x} e^{-x} \leq G_{0}(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln \left(1+4 x^{4}\right)}{x} e^{-x}$, for all $x>0$. So, the inequality $\sqrt{35}$ is fulfilled if the following condition is verified,

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x} e^{-x} \sin x d x>2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\ln \left(1+4(x+\pi)^{4}\right)}{x+\pi} e^{-x-\pi} \sin x d x
$$

A lower bound for $\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x} e^{-x} \sin (x) d x$ :
We always have
$\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x} e^{-x} \sin x d x=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x} e^{-x} \sin x d x+\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} \frac{\ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x} e^{-x} \sin x d x$.
Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin x \geq \frac{2}{\pi} x, \quad x \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x} e^{-x} \sin x d x \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right) e^{-x} d x$. From 19) taking with $x=\frac{\pi}{2}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x} e^{-x} \sin x d x \geq \Delta_{1} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{1}=\frac{32}{\pi^{5}} \Omega_{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \ln \left(1+\frac{\pi^{4}}{2}\right) \simeq 0,216716$.
On the other hand, since $\ln (1+x) \geq \frac{\ln (1+\alpha)}{\alpha} x$, for all $x \in[0, \alpha]$ and $\alpha>0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} \frac{\ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x} e^{-x} \sin x d x \geq \Delta_{2} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{2}=\frac{\ln \left(1+8 \pi^{4}\right)}{\pi^{4}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} x^{3} e^{-x} \sin x d x$, and after integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\Delta_{2}=\frac{e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \ln \left(1+8 \pi^{4}\right)}{2 \pi^{4}}\left\{e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(\pi^{3}+3 \pi^{2}+3 \pi\right)+\frac{\pi^{3}}{8}-\frac{3}{2} \pi-3\right\} \simeq 0,07620
$$

From (38) and (39), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x} e^{-x} \sin x d x \geq \Delta_{3} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{3}=\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2} \simeq 0,292916$.
An upper bound for $2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\ln \left(1+4(x+\pi)^{4}\right)}{x+\pi} e^{-x-\pi} \sin x d x$ :
The fact that the function $x \mapsto \frac{\ln \left(1+4 x^{4}\right)}{x}$ is decreasing on $[\pi,+\infty[$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\ln \left(1+4(x+\pi)^{4}\right)}{x+\pi} e^{-x-\pi} \sin x d x \leq \Delta_{4} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{4}=\frac{2 e^{-\pi} \ln \left(1+4 \pi^{4}\right)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{-t} \sin t d t$ and after integrations by parts, we obtain $\Delta_{4}=\frac{\ln \left(1+4 \pi^{4}\right)}{\pi} e^{-\pi}\left(e^{-\pi}+1\right) \simeq 0,08563$. Clearly, $\Delta_{3}>\Delta_{4}$ and then 35 is fulfilled. $\mathbf{C}_{2} .0<\nu \leq \mu$, with $\mu \simeq 0,405589$.
Using (15), the inequality (35) is fulfilled if we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-x} \sin x \ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x\left(1+\nu \ln \left(1+4 x^{4}\right)\right)} d x>2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-x-\pi} \sin x \ln \left(1+4(x+\pi)^{4}\right)}{x+\pi} d x \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\nu>0$, since the function $x \mapsto \frac{1}{1+\nu \ln \left(1+4 x^{4}\right)}$ is decreasing on $[0, \pi]$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-x} \sin x \ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x\left(1+\nu \ln \left(1+4 x^{4}\right)\right)} d x \geq \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-x} \sin x \ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x\left(1+\nu \ln \left(1+4 \pi^{4}\right)\right)} d x
$$

Clearly, the inequality (42) is satisfied if $\nu$ is positive and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-x} \sin x \ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x\left(1+\nu \ln \left(1+4 \pi^{4}\right)\right)} d x>2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-x-\pi} \sin x \ln \left(1+4(x+\pi)^{4}\right)}{x+\pi} d x . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the fact that $2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-x-\pi} \sin x \ln \left(1+4(x+\pi)^{4}\right)}{x+\pi} d x>0$, the inequality 43 is equivalent to $0<\nu<\Delta_{5}$, where

$$
\Delta_{5}=\frac{\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-x} \sin x \ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x} d x-2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-x-\pi} \sin x \ln \left(1+4(x+\pi)^{4}\right)}{x+\pi} d x}{2 \ln \left(1+4 \pi^{4}\right) \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-x-\pi} \sin x \ln \left(1+4(x+\pi)^{4}\right)}{x+\pi} d x} .
$$

From (40) and (41) and the fact that $\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{4}>0$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-x} \sin (x) \ln \left(1+8 x^{4}\right)}{x} d x-2 \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-x-\pi} \sin (x) \ln \left(1+4(x+\pi)^{4}\right)}{x+\pi} d x>0,
$$

and $\Delta_{5} \geq \mu$, where $\mu=\frac{\Delta_{3}-\Delta_{4}}{\Delta_{4} \ln \left(1+4 \pi^{4}\right)} \simeq 0,405589$.
Accordingly, the inequality (43) is satisfied for all $\nu$ on $] 0, \mu$ ] and hence (42) is satisfied for all $\nu$ on the interval $] 0, \mu]$.
$\mathbf{C}_{3} . \nu>\mu$.
For $\nu \geq 0$, if we take (12) into account, we infer that (35) is fulfilled if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{x^{3} e^{-x} \sin x}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}} d x>\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{(\pi+x)^{3} e^{-x-\pi} \sin x}{1+4 \nu(\pi+x)^{4}} d x . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

A lower bound for $\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{x^{3} e^{-x} \sin x}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}} d x$ :
We can write $\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{x^{3} e^{-x} \sin x}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}} d x=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{x^{3} e^{-x} \sin x}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}} d x+\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} \frac{x^{3} e^{-x} \sin x}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}} d x$. By 37 , $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{x^{3} e^{-x} \sin x}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}} d x \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{x^{4} e^{-x}}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}} d x$. From 18 with $x=(\pi / 2)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{x^{3} e^{-x} \sin (x)}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}} d x \geq \Theta_{1}(\nu), \quad \nu \geq 0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta_{1}(\nu)=\frac{\frac{8}{\pi} \Omega_{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{4+(\nu+1) \pi^{4}} \simeq \frac{1,35110}{4+(\nu+1) \pi^{4}}$.
The fact that the function $x \mapsto \frac{x^{4}}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}}$ is increasing on $\left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right]$, leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} \frac{x^{3}}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}} e^{-x} \sin x d x \geq \Theta_{2}(\nu), \quad \nu \geq 0, \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta_{2}(\nu)=\frac{\frac{\pi^{3}}{2} \int \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-x} \sin x d x}{4+(\nu+1) \pi^{4}}=\frac{\frac{\pi^{3}}{4}\left(1+\frac{\pi}{2}\right) e^{-\pi}}{4+(\nu+1) \pi^{4}} \simeq \frac{1.94637}{4+(\nu+1) \pi^{4}}$.
From 45 and 46, $\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{x^{3}}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}} e^{-x} \sin x d x \geq \Theta_{3}(\nu)$, for every $\nu \geq 0$, where $\Theta_{3}(\nu)=\Theta_{1}(\nu)+\Theta_{2}(\nu)=\frac{\omega_{1}}{4+(\nu+1) \pi^{4}}$, with $\omega_{1}=\frac{8}{\pi} \Omega_{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)+\frac{\pi^{3}}{4}\left(1+e^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\right) e^{-\pi} \simeq 3,29747$.
An upper bound for $\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{(\pi+x)^{3}}{1+4 \nu(\pi+x)^{4}} e^{-x-\pi} \sin x d x$.
Since the function $x \mapsto \frac{x^{4}}{1+4(\nu+1) x^{4}}$ is increasing on $[\pi, 2 \pi]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{(\pi+x)^{3}}{1+4 \nu(\pi+x)^{4}} e^{-x-\pi} \sin x d x & =\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\pi+x} \frac{(\pi+x)^{4}}{1+4 \nu(\pi+x)^{4}} e^{-x-\pi} \sin x d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{(2 \pi)^{4}}{1+4 \nu(2 \pi)^{4}} e^{-\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{-x} \sin x d x
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{(\pi+x)^{3} e^{-x-\pi} \sin x}{1+4 \nu(\pi+x)^{4}} d x \leq \Theta_{4}(\nu)$, where $\Theta_{4}(\nu)=\frac{16 \pi^{3} e^{-\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{-x} \sin x d x}{1+64 \pi^{4} \nu}=\frac{\omega_{2}}{1+64 \pi^{4} \nu}$ and $\omega_{2}=8 \pi^{3} e^{-\pi}\left(e^{-\pi}+1\right) \simeq 11,18244$. Thus, 44 is fulfilled if $\Theta_{3}(\nu)>\Theta_{4}(\nu)$, i.e., if $\nu>\frac{\omega_{2}\left(\pi^{4}+4\right)-\omega_{1}}{\pi^{4}\left(64 \omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right)} \simeq 0,05808$, and so, if $\nu>\mu \simeq 0,405589$.

Hence, the desired result of the theorem is an immediate consequence of the three cases already treated.

Case $\nu<0$.
Using (1), the linear function $B[\nu]$ where $\nu \in \mathbb{C}, \nu \neq-n, n \geq 1$, satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B[\nu+1]=-4(\nu+1) x^{2} B[\nu] \\
& -2(\nu+1) B[\nu]=x(B[\nu+1])^{\prime}-(1+2 \nu) B[\nu+1] .
\end{aligned}
$$

More general, by an easy induction we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-2)^{m} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+m+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} B[\nu]=\sum_{l=0}^{m} \alpha_{m, l} x^{l} B^{(l)}[\nu+m], m \geq 0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\alpha_{m, l}\right)_{l=0}^{m}, m \geq 0$, are given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{m, m}=1, m \geq 0  \tag{48}\\
\alpha_{m, l-1}+(l-1-2(\nu+m)) \alpha_{m, l}=\alpha_{m+1, l}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq m, m \geq 1 \\
\alpha_{m+1,0}=-(1+2(\nu+m)) \alpha_{m, 0}, \quad m \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 11. Let $\nu<0$, with $\nu \neq-n, n \geq 1$. For each integer $m \geq 1$, such that $\nu>-m$, the generalized Bessel linear functional $B[\nu]$ has the following integral representation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle B[\nu], p\rangle=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} V_{\nu+m}(x) p(x) d x, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}, \text { and where }  \tag{49}\\
V_{\nu+m}(x)=\frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{(-2)^{m} S_{\nu+m} \Gamma(\nu+m+1)} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \alpha_{m, l} x^{l} U_{\nu+m}^{(l)}(x) \tag{50}
\end{gather*}
$$

The sequence $\left(\alpha_{m, l}\right)_{l=0}^{m}$ is given by (48), and

$$
U_{\nu+m}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \quad x=0  \tag{51}\\
\frac{1}{x^{2}} \int_{|x|}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{|x|}{t}\right)^{2(\nu+m)+1} e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}-\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}} s\left(t^{2}\right) d t, \quad x \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Let $\nu<0$, with $\nu \neq-n, n \geq 1$. Now, let $m \geq 1$ be an integer such that $\nu>-m$. From (4), the function $U_{\nu+m}$ satisfies

$$
\left(x^{3} y\right)^{\prime}-\left(2(\nu+m+1) x^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) y=g(x), \quad y(0)=0
$$

where $g(x)=-|x| s\left(x^{2}\right)=-|x| e^{-\sqrt{|x|}} \sin (\sqrt{|x|})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly, $g(x)=$ $f(\sqrt{|x|})$, where $f$ is an entire function, $f(t)=-t^{2} e^{-t} \sin t=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_{n} t^{n}$ with $a_{0}=$ $a_{1}=0$ and $a_{n}=-\frac{2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{(n-2)!} \cos \left(\frac{3 n \pi}{4}\right), n \geq 2$. Besides, $f$ satisfies $\mathbf{P}_{2}$. In concordance of (20), $U_{\nu+m}$ is a solution of the first-order differential equation $E_{\nu+m}(g)$. In view of lemmas 6 and 8 and by using theorem $10, U_{\nu+m}$ is even, infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{R}-\{0\}$, in $L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^{0}(\mathbb{R})$ and when $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{n} U_{\nu+m}^{(n)}(x)\right|=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{k+2}}\right), \text { for every integers } k \geq k_{\nu} \text { and } n \geq 0 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for every integer $n \geq 0$, we have $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} x^{n} U_{\nu+m}^{(n)}(x)=0$. Since $S_{\nu+m}>0$, then $B[\nu+m]$ has the following integral representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle B[\nu+m], p\rangle=S_{\nu+m}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U_{\nu+m}(x) p(x) d x, \quad p \in \mathcal{P} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
U_{\nu+m}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, x=0 \\
\frac{1}{x^{2}} \int_{|x|}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{|x|}{t}\right)^{2(\nu+m)+1} e^{\frac{1}{4 t^{2}}-\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}} s\left(t^{2}\right) d t, x \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

By (47), 52) and (53), we get after finite number of integrations by parts,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-2)^{m} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+m+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)}\langle B[\nu], p\rangle & =\sum_{l=0}^{m}(-1)^{l} a_{m, l}\left\langle B[\nu+m],\left(x^{l} p\right)^{(l)}\right\rangle \\
& =S_{\nu+m}^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{m}(-1)^{l} a_{m, l} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U_{\nu+m}(t)\left(t^{l} p\right)^{(l)}(t) d t \\
& =S_{\nu+m}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{m} a_{m, l} t^{l} U_{\nu+m}^{(l)}(t) p(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

This archived the proof of the theorem.
3.2. An integral representation of $\mathcal{B}(\alpha)$. Recall that the Bessel linear functional $\mathcal{B}(\alpha)$, where $\alpha$ is a complex number such that $\alpha \neq-(n / 2), n \geq 0$, is D-classical satisfying [7]:

$$
\left(x^{2} \mathcal{B}(\alpha)\right)^{\prime}-2(\alpha x+1) \mathcal{B}(\alpha)=0
$$

By referring to [2], there is a connection formula between the two linear functionals $B[\nu]$ and $\mathcal{B}(\alpha)$,

$$
\sigma B[\nu]=h_{\frac{1}{8}} \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right), \text { for all } \nu \neq-n, n \geq 1
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(\alpha)=h_{8} \sigma B[2 \alpha-1], \text { for all } \alpha \neq-(n / 2), n \geq 0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a straightforward consequence of (54) and by theorems 10 and 11 , we obtain an integral representation of $\mathcal{B}(\alpha)$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha \neq-(n / 2), n \geq 0$.
For $\alpha \geq(1 / 2)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathcal{B}(\alpha), p\rangle=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{U_{2 \alpha-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{t}{8}}\right)}{S_{2 \alpha-1} \sqrt{8 t}} p(t) d t, p \in \mathcal{P} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{2 \alpha-1}>0$ and the function $U_{2 \alpha-1}$ is given by (2).
For $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha \neq-(n / 2), n \geq 0$, we have for each integer $m \geq 1$ such that $\alpha>\frac{-m+1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathcal{B}(\alpha), p\rangle=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{V_{2 \alpha-1+m}\left(\sqrt{\frac{t}{8}}\right)}{\sqrt{8 t}} p(t) d t, \quad p \in \mathcal{P} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $V_{2 \alpha-1+m}$ is given by 50 .
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